

Message

From: Schaible, Stephen [Schaible.Stephen@epa.gov]
Sent: 5/16/2017 5:33:07 PM
To: Phil Klein (pklein@cspa.org) [pklein@cspa.org]; Steven J Goldberg [steven.goldberg@basf.com]
CC: Keigwin, Richard [Keigwin.Richard@epa.gov]
Subject: reporting requirement for "vector-borne"

Phil and Steve,

In response to a TA request from Senate Ag, EPA intends to include in its response a suggestion to revise the reporting requirement verbiage for "*vector-born public health pests*". In short, "born" should be "borne" and pests aren't vector-borne, diseases are. The verbiage is found on page 18, line 18.

The suggested revision is as follows:

(L) a review of the progress made in the priority review and approval of new pesticides to control ~~vector-born public health pests~~ invertebrate public health pests that may transmit vector-borne disease for use in the United States, including each territory or possession of the United States, and United States military installations globally.

Does this language deviate from the intent of the Coalition in proposing this requirement for annual reporting? If so, please let me know today, if possible.

Best regards, Steve

Stephen A. Schaible, PRIA Coordinator (acting)
Office of Pesticide Programs
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20460
ph: (703)308-9362